An Airport-Passenger-Screening System based on Emitted IR and Thermal Radiation

This paper discussed health issues related to passenger-screening-full-body scan (backscatter-X-ray scan), currently implemented at selected airports in Europe and North America, and proposed a safer system. In the full-body scan, X rays penetrate through clothes and Compton scattered to produce an unlabeled image (which could be stored, although stated not to be stored during test runs) of the person being screened. Modern-image-processing systems can display this image as negative (looking like a body pattern) or positive (depicting the actual shape of face and body). In this process, it sets off millions of electrons on or near the skin. The scientific concerns arise from the fact that Compton scattering of X rays (ionizing radiation) generates a very large number of scattered electrons. They could disturb fluid-electrolyte balance of the body. Backscatter-X rays, not only, expose passengers, but also, security guards, who have to stand exposed for a whole shift of passengers. Depending on the geometry of the source producing them, they may fall off as inverse square (spherical symmetry) or inverse paraboloid (cylindrical symmetry). These X rays are stated to be of low intensity and medium energy (the cross section of Compton scattering is maximum at medium energy). The nature of damage depends on the energy of the photons interacting with the surface. The extent of damage depends on the photon intensity and the part of the body exposed to the radiation. The optical density (intensity of X radiation) and the thickness of the tissue exposed, makes the X rays distinctive as a standard safety requirement in the clinical-X-ray screening system, which is a very standard safety requirement in the clinical-X-ray procedures. Further, at some stage, the authorities managing the system would want to store the images for follow-up investigation and evaluation of any security lapses discovered at a later stage as well as for research purposes. Hence, the statement that the images are destroyed after processing seems not to be compatible with standard security and surveillance procedures. Presently, data are not available on false positives. However, it seems that these would be almost as many as for security gates (or even more), because many things, which are harmless, may look like potential threat on screen (a pen may be mistaken as a pen pistol; an implant or an artificial body-part may prompt the screener to conduct an intrusive search). It has been pointed out that application of talcum powder on the skin may also produce false positives. As regards missed cases, the system would not be able to detect material, which has the same reflective properties as human skin, as well as objects hidden under thick clothes. Demetrios Klitou1 observes “Objects with a high density of low-atomic-number (low-Z) materials), such as metallic weapons, absorb X-rays, while echoing or scattering, for example, nitrogen and carbon, which have a low atomic number (low-Z materials), scatter X-rays.” Hence, there is an indication of blackout for intermediate-Z materials. In order to test the effectiveness of full-body-X-ray-backscatter-screening system, a simulated-plastic explosive (a baggy with powdery substance) and a syringe were strapped to the body of a person, and had him go through the scanner. The scanner showed nothing except the needle and the uncloth ed figure of that person. The airline employee performing the blind screening missed both. Other health concerns seem to be of no significant improvement over conventional security gates, except localization of the questionable object. Also, since it is a surface-analysis technique (like moiré fringe topography and rastereirography), it would not detect explosives contained inside the body and in the body folds (radiation dose is kept low enough to skim the body surface) as well as other contraband. This type of screening poses highest risk to infants, children, elderly people, cancer patients and pregnant women (the first four have weak immune systems; as for the last group, radiation may inflict permanent damage to the unborn child) as well as frightened travelers and flight crew (the unnecessary radiation exposure of eyes and neck region) and nobly covers eyes; it seems, extremely strange to bombard the traveler’s eyes with millions of vibrating electrons; similarly, interaction of radiation in the neck region may increase risk of thyroid cancer). Any wound or infection could also, deteriorate from doses of radiation. Active-millimeter-wave scanners, look like not to be posing so many health hazards, although they can not be ruled out because of interaction of high-frequency radio waves with human body. The privacy issues are same as those in backscatter-X-ray scanners. Since very high-density materials, such as metals reflect more energy and are impractical for human flesh; this produces a 3-D image of the individual with surface detail of the body, at the same time imaging potential threats. An airport-passenger-screening system was proposed based on recording and display of infrared (IR) and thermal radiation emitted by a prospective traveler (similar to passive-millimeter-wave scanner developed elsewhere). It was stated that this system had the potential to detect explosives and controlled substances hidden in clothes, on the person or inside the body (surgically-implanted bombs), if IR and thermal imaging were combined with advanced signal- and image-processing techniques, canne teams and pat downs. Since there was no radiation, which was given to body (only the radiation given out by the body was examined), there seemed to be no significant health concern arising from this procedure. Being a passive scanner, the probability of damage to human body is, almost, negligible in case of malfunction. Such is not the case with active scanners (mainly, cheap systems bought by corrupt-third-world officials), e.g., malfunctioning-ir-scanning system, a serial-scanning device, or defected-full-body-screening system (both backscatter and millimeter wave), a parallel-scanning device, can, permanently, damage eyes. Such full-body systems, also, have the potential to burn skin and other organs, too. The un-researched health hazards of active-scanning systems and their potential to be converted into devices invading body privacy of a traveler are the main concern. The passing authorities (passport, visa and customs) from the specifications and the sample outputs of such devices, so that they can record and document radiation exposure through security-screening devices, which use active-scanning processes (note that radiation doses add up from exposure-to-exposure, increasing cancer risk). Further, the prospective air travelers may be given the option to select non-electronic systems for security screening, if they so desire. The safe radiation limits must be declared clearly (medical exposure — no limit; occupational exposure — approximately, 20 millisievert per year; general, approximately, 1 millisievert per year) in all public places. Passive exposure of general public through flying in an aircraft, etc., must, also be accounted for. When there arises a doubt that authorities are not providing proper information, public must exercise constitutional right to self-monitor possible overexposure. Of course, it is against law, ethics and commonsense to hide such information from the tax-paying consumer. It, also, violates all norms of accountability and transparency. Security systems would become more efficient and highly effective if explosive-trace detection was coupled, not only, with passenger-identification systems based on previously proposed (by the speaker) static- and dynamic-3-D-face-recognition (patented), with a parallel-parallel-3-D-image processing, that heared by the speaker), other than the psychological traits. These might include face reading (people have employed statistical methods to study temperature distribution of face) and checking whether a person was heavily influenced by persuasive individuals or ideologies (using, say, NN graphs).

Keywords: Backscatter-X-ray (full-body) scan, Compton scattering, infra-red (IR), thermal radiation, explosive-trace detection

Conflict of Interest Statement: This work was supported, entirely, by Dean’s (Science) Research Grant, University of Karachi, No. DFSR/2009.

Web address of this paper: www.ngds-ku.org/Presentations/Security.pdf

HTML version: https://www.ngds-ku.org/pub/confabstA.htm#C81


Paper presented during the Fifth Symposium on Computational Complexities, Innovations and Solutions (CCIS 2010), the COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Abbottabad, KP, Pakistan, May 10, 11, 2010 (Monday, May 10, 1235H-1250H, A220-A223, Life Sciences Block), First Session, abstract#24, p 21

Syed Arif Kamal* SF Growth-and-Imaging Laboratory, Image-Processing Group, Department of Mathematics, University of Karachi profdrakamal@gmail.com

9 PhD (Mathematical Neuroscience); MA, Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, MD, United States; MS, Indiana, Bloomington, IN, United States; MSc, cum laude, Universitatsprofessur, BSc (Honors), summa cum laude, University of Karachi, Founding Project Director, the NGDS Pilot Project; Founding President, SF Growth-and-Imaging Laboratory (a non-profit corporation); Founder, The Democratic Council of Members (a non-profit corporation); Fellow, National Testing Service, Islamabad, Pakistan; Convener, Sub-Committee (Academics), the Education Committee, Transparency International Pakistan • paper mails: Professor and Head, Image Processing Group, Department of Mathematics, University of Karachi, Box 8423, Karachi 75270, Pakistan; telephone: +92 21 9926 1300-15 ext. 2293 • homepage: https://www.ngds-ku.org/kamal • project URL: https://www.ngds-ku.org


* Author has no financial/non-financial competing interests in any of the companies/the lobbying groups involved in the manufacturing, the commissioning or the marketing of full-body scanners or any such security devices.