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The Experimental Verification of
The Relation Connecting The
Anthropometric Measurements Taken
on Clothing to Those Taken on Body
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Anthropometric measurements are an essential part of School health examinations. Sometimes it is not practical to take the measurements on body and so the measurements are taken on clothing. There was no mathematical formula available to convert the anthropometric measurements taken on clothing to those taken on body.

Recently one of the authors (SAK) derived relations connecting these anthropometric measurements. There is a need to study how accurate are the theoretical predictions.

This study was conducted to check the accuracy of the theoretical relations. Ten boys and six girls between ages of 2 and 7 were studied using Callipar and Micrometer. The Breadths and Depths of chest, waist, arm, and thigh were determined by Callipers to one-tenth of centimeter and thickness of clothing measured by Micrometers, screw gauge to one-hundredth of centimeter.

Data collected was fitted to the following equations:

\[ \frac{b}{2a} = \frac{B}{2a} - 1 \text{ and } \frac{d}{2a} = \frac{D}{2a} - 1 \]

Where 'b', 'B' and 'd', 'D' are the Breadths and Depths taken on body and clothing respectively; and 'a' thickness of clothing.

Graphs were plotted between \( \frac{B}{2a} \) and \( \frac{b}{2a} \) as well as \( \frac{D}{2a} \) and \( \frac{d}{2a} \) and showed straight lines having slope unity. Therefore, the experimental results are in agreement with the theoretical relations. This indicates that the proposed relations are valid and can be used.
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INTRODUCTION

The use of anthropometric measurements as a fundamental base for the appraisal of development and physical condition is a well established practice in Physical Therapy. Assess and record, treat and assess again-physiotherapists repeat this cycle over and over during a therapeutic program. The lack of accurate and scientifically acceptable assessment has made it very difficult for the clinician to document exactly the various anthropometric measurements.

Many of the anthropometric measurements used are grossly inaccurate. Furthermore, the profession has been criticised for not objectively recording events\(^1\). The value of further anthropometric examinations for therapeutic purposes, however, is a controversial matter. The main obstacles for the general acceptance of more detailed anthropometric studies are lack of generally accepted selections of measurements, lack of adequate statistical material for the evaluation of such measurements and the complicated character of many of the suggested examinations with and without clothing.

§Homepage: http://www.ngds-ku.org/kamal
e-mail: profdrakamal@gmail.com
Anthropometric methods acceptable for use in physical therapy have to be simple, should not require too much time and if possible should not require special equipment. Sometimes it is not practical to take the measurements directly on body and so the measurements are taken on clothing. There was no mathematical formula available to convert the anthropometric measurements taken on clothing to those taken on body. Recently one of the authors (SAK) derived relations connecting the anthropometric measurements taken on clothing to those taken on body. There is a need to study how accurate are the theoretical predictions.

EXPERIMENT

Ten boys and six girls between the ages of two and seven years were studied using calipers and micrometer screw gauge (Fig. 1). The breadths and depths of chest, waist, arm and thigh were determined by calipers to one-tenth of a centimeter and thickness of clothing measured by micrometer screw gauge to one-hundredth of a centimeter. We define the breadth of chest as the measurement between hands and depth as thickness between the front and the back. For other parts of body equivalent definitions hold good.

DATA ANALYSIS

If b and B are the breadths taken on body and clothing respectively, d and D the depths taken on body and clothing and a the thickness of clothing, we have

\[
\frac{b}{2a} = \frac{B}{2a} - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{2a} = \frac{D}{2a} - 1
\]
A computer program was constructed to calculate means and standard deviations. Graphs were plotted between (B/2a) and (b/2a) as well as (D/2a) and (d/2a) for chest and waist (Figs. 2, 3, 4 and 5).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained were for a group of children in the particular age and not individual followed up. In other words the study was cross-sectional instead of being longitudinal.

The mean and the standard deviation for each variable are presented in Table 1. Data on patterns of energy expenditure and exercise habits, as well as on seasonal variation, are lacking in the present study. Correlations of such factors may, however, partially reduce the consequence of their omission. Plots of data reveal straight lines having unit slope and y-intercept unity.

CONCLUSION

This study indicates that the anthropometric approach to physical therapy is still in its infancy. It has not yet grown up to a stage where practical conclusions may be drawn from our physical examinations. It is not yet possible to deduce specific physiotherapeutic treatment from the analysis of the anthropometric measurements, which will be one of the ultimate aims of such studies. But we believe that this approach offers some promise for the future.
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TABLE 1: MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF BREADTHS AND DEPTHS
OF CHEST, WAIST, ARM AND THIGH

\[ N = 16 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Thickness of Clothing ( a ) cm</th>
<th>Breadth/Depth on Clothing ( x^{*} ) cm</th>
<th>Breadth/Depth on Body ( x^{**} ) cm</th>
<th>( (X-x)/2a )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHEST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.06</td>
<td>13.20 ± 3.54</td>
<td>12.83 ± 1.70</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.04</td>
<td>12.65 ± 2.40</td>
<td>11.82 ± 2.27</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAIST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.07</td>
<td>17.05 ± 1.37</td>
<td>16.35 ± 2.05</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.03</td>
<td>15.44 ± 2.81</td>
<td>14.29 ± 3.82</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.01</td>
<td>5.89 ± 0.80</td>
<td>3.80 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.09</td>
<td>7.55 ± 1.00</td>
<td>6.10 ± 1.10</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THIGH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breadth</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.08</td>
<td>5.60 ± 1.35</td>
<td>4.25 ± 1.09</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.05</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.81</td>
<td>3.98 ± 1.44</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( X \) is \( B \) (breadth on clothing) or \( D \) (depth on clothing)

\( x^{*} \) is \( b \) (breadth on body) or \( d \) (depth on body)
FIG. 1: EQUIPMENT USED IN THE STUDY

Measure

A = Callipers, B = Micrometer, C = Tape
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