

Proceedings of International Bhurban Conference

on Applied
Sciences & Technology

Volume 1
Edited by: Hafeez R. Hoorani
Arshad Munir
Raza Samar
Salimuddin Zahir

National Centre for Physics Quaid-i-Azam University Campus Islamabad, Pakistan

International Bhurban Conference on Applied Sciences & Technology



Edited by: Hafeez R. Hoorani Arshad Munir Raza Samar

Salimuddin Zahir

National Centre for Physics Quaid-i-Azam University Campus Islamabad, Pakistan

1st International Bhurban Conference on Applied Sciences & Technology

NATIONAL CENTRE FOR PHYSICS QUAID-I-AZAM UNIVERSITY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction

S. Zahir, Nadeem A. Javed, Sohaib Aziz

	f/
ADVANCEDMATERIALS	
Microstructure and Properties of Metal-Matrix Composites (MMC) for Automotive Applications Fazal A. Khalid	1
Electro-Chromic Materials and Devices Rizwan Hussain	4
Composites for Aerospace Applications Dr. M. Zafar-uz-Zaman	8
High Performance Fiber Reinforced Composites (Processing and Fabricating Techniques) Navced A. Sıddiqui	16
COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS (CFD)	
Introduction to Non-Linear Finite Element Analysis Dr. Abdul Fazal M. Arif	23
Formation of Counter-Rotating Vortices with Sheared Ion-Flows in the Earth's Auroral F-Region of the Plasma Arshad M. Mirza, P.K. Shukla, T. Farid and G. Murtaza	52
Computational Study of a Blunt Cylinder Flare Body in High Super-Sonic Flow Using CFD A. Jabbar. I. Afgan, M. Arshad. S. Zahir	63
Cone-Cylinder Separation Analysis in Hypersonic Flow R.A. Khurram, Nasir Kamran and S. Zahir	80
Solid Rocket Motor Plume Analysis and its Applications S. Bilal H. Bukhari, S. Zahir, I. Jehan and M. Hanif	92
Growth of Computational Aerodynamics in Pakistan S. Zahir	102
Fortran Code to Generate Multi-Block Algebraic Grids on and Around a Nose-Cone-Cylinder-Flare (NCCF) Configurations of Single Stage and Multistage Bodies S. Zahir, Nadeem A. Javed, Aamna Mubarak	110
Aerodynamic Force Estimation on a Jet Vane Exposed to an Internal Flow of a CD-Nozzle Exhaust I. Jehan, S. Bılal H. Bukhari and S. Zahir	130
Performance Benchmark of Some CFD Applications on PC and Parallel Processing Machine M. Asad, B. Zahid, S. Zahir	134
Steady Navier-Stokes Simulations of Supersonic Flow Field over a Three-Dimensional Cavity	138

Comparison of Convective and Radiative Heat Fluxes for the Stagnation Region of Hemisphere in Hypersonic Flow	145
M. Hanif, S. Zahir	
Estimation and Validation of Aerodynamic Hinge-Moments and Shaft-Hinge Line Location for a Trapazoidal Control Surface Panel in Subsonic Flow Imran Afgan, Abdullah Malik, S. Zahir	151
CFD Predictions of Axial Pressure Distribution and Flow Structure of Water and Air around Hemisphere Cylinder Configuration at Intermediate to High Reynolds Numbers and at Various Incidence Angles S. Sahir, M. Zahid Bashir and Rafi-ud-Din	15
A Study of Separation Control on a Thick Airfoil by Varying Mems Parameters Ajmal Baig, Salimuddin Zahir and Hossein Hamdani	3+
CONTROL ENGINEERING	
Incompleteness of Cross-Product Steering and a Mathematical Formulation of Extended-Cross-Product Steering S.A. Kamal	167
Dot-Product Steering, A New Control Law for Satellites and Spacecrafts S.A. Kamal	178
Soft Computing Based Modeling and Control Systems M.M. Awais	185
Internal Model Control-Systems for Large Scale Industrial Problems M.M. Awais	203
Model Reduction Techniques for Large Scale Unstable Systems by the Implicit Restart Scheme Nisar Ahmed, M. Mansoor Ahmed and Mian M. Awais	222
Lyapunov Stability Theory Kamran Iqbal	236
Review of Fault Detection Techniques N. Lehrasab	241
Review of Fault Isolation Techniques N. Lehrasab	256
FPGA-based ASIC Design Using Verilog HDL Dr. Nasir D. Gohar	270



The First International Bhurban Conference on Applied Sciences and Technologies Bhurban, Pakistan. June 10 – 15, 2002, pp. 167-177

Incompleteness of the Cross-Product Steering and a Mathematical Formulation of the Extended-Cross-Product Steering

Syed Arif Kamal¹ Departments of Mathematics and Computer Science University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan

Abstract

The Cross-product steering, as presented by Battin, is incomplete and cannot achieve the desired results. A further condition on the magnitude of rate of change of velocity is needed to bring the spacecraft in the desired orbit. The new control law is named as extended-cross-product steering, which incorporates this additional condition. Mathematical representation using elliptic-astrodynamical-coördinate mesh is presented.

Nomenclature

a) Symbols (in alphabetical order)

Symbol	Description
ξ	Generalized coördinate describing the shape of ellipse
$\mu_{ m R}$	Reduced mass of the two-body system
a	Semi-major axis of the ellipse

(continued on the next page)

¹PhD; MA (Astrophysics), Johns Hopkins; MS (Gravitation Physics), Indiana, Bloomington; Ex-Senior Scientific Officer, Control-Systems Laboratories, SUPARCO (Plant), Pakistan Space and Upper Atmosphere Research Commission; *paper mail*: Professor, Department of Mathematics, University of Karachi, Post Office Box 8423, Karachi 75270, Sindh, Pakistan • *telephone*: +92 21 9926 1300-15 ext. 2293 • *e-mail*: profdrakamal@gmail.com • *homepage*: https://www.ngds-ku.org/kamal • member AIAA

Symbol	Description
$\hat{a}_{ m N}$	Unit vector normal to the trajectory plane (in the direction of relative angular momentum of the two-body system)
\hat{a}_{para}	Unit vector pointing parallel to the positive sense of semi-major axis
$\hat{a}_{ ext{perp}}$	Unit vector determined by $\hat{a}_{para} \times \hat{a}_{perp} = \hat{a}_{N}$
b	Semi-minor axis of the ellipse
c	Distance of focus from center of the ellipse
c_0	Speed of light in the free space
E	Eccentricity of the ellipse
$\hat{m{e}}_{ m E}$	Unit vector in the direction of increasing eccentric anomaly
$\hat{m{e}}_{m{\xi}}$	Unit vector in the direction of increasing elliptical-shape coödinate, ξ
$\hat{m{e}}_{\mathrm{f}}$	Unit vector in the direction of increasing true anomaly, f
$\hat{e}_{ m N}$	Re-labeling of vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\mathrm{N}}$
\hat{e}_{para}	Unit vector tangent to the trajectory curve, pointing in the direction of motion of spacecraft (direction of this vector is different from $\hat{e}_{\rm E}$)
$\hat{e}_{ ext{perp}}$	Unit vector, normal in the trajectory plane, determined by $\hat{e}_{para} \times \hat{e}_{perp} = \hat{e}_{N}$
$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\mathrm{x}}$	Unit vector in the direction of increasing <i>x</i> coödinate
$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\mathrm{y}}$	Unit vector in the direction of increasing y coödinate
$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\mathrm{z}}$	Unit vector in the direction of increasing z coödinate
\boldsymbol{E}	Eccentric anomaly
\mathcal{E}	Energy of the system
f	True anomaly
G H	The universal constant of gravitation
ℓ	Hamiltonian of the system Relative angular momentum of the two-body system
$\stackrel{^{c}}{L}$	Lagrangian of the system
m	Mass of the lighter body
M	Mass of the heavier body
$\overset{ ightarrow}{p}$	Parameter of the orbit (semi latus-rectum of the ellipse)
$p_{ m E}$	Canonical momentum corresponding to eccentric anomaly, E
p_{ξ}	Canonical momentum corresponding to elliptical-shape coödinate, ξ
r	The radial coördinate
$\overset{ ightarrow}{r}$	Radius vector in the inertial-coördinate system
\vec{r}_2	Radius vector of the desired location
t	The universal time
TYPE	Variable expressing direction of motion of spacecraft relative to earth rotation
$\overset{ ightarrow}{v}$	Velocity vector in the inertial-coördinate system
\vec{v}_{para}	Velocity vector in the inertial-coördinate system parallel to the desired trajectory

(continued	on	the	next	page)
------------	----	-----	------	-------

Symbol	Description
\vec{v}_{perp}	Velocity vector in the inertial-coördinate system in a plane normal to the desired trajectory
$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathrm{P}}$	Component of \vec{v}_{perp} in the plane of trajectory (normal in the trajectory plane)
\vec{v}_{N}	Component of \vec{v}_{perp} normal to the plane of trajectory
X	x coördinate in the inertial system
y	y coördinate in the inertial system
Z	z coördinate in the inertial system

b) Compact Notations

In order to simplify the entries,

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{1 - e^2}, \ \ \vartheta = \sqrt{\frac{1 - e}{1 + e}}, \ \ \mu = G(m + M)$$

are used in the expressions. A dot above any variable denotes time rate of change. For example, \dot{E} means dE/dt. A double dot means second derivative with respect to time, \ddot{E} represents d^2E/dt^2 .

c) The Coördinate Systems

The geocentric-inertial-coördinate mesh: $O(\hat{e}_x, \hat{e}_y, \hat{e}_z)$ is a right-handed cartesian coördinate system fixed at a certain instant $t = t_1$ with the z axis coinciding with the axis of earth, the positive x axis directed from the center of earth towards a point on the surface of earth at the intersection of the equator and the meridian — 'mesh' indicates that the coordinate triad is linked through orthonormality relations.

The ellipse-based-inertial-coördinate mesh: $O(\hat{a}_{para}, \hat{a}_{perp}, \hat{a}_{N})$ is a right-handed coördinate system with origin at the center of ellipse. The positive senses of major and minor axes are determined by the convention that $\hat{a}_{para} \times \hat{a}_{perp}$ points in the direction of relative angular momentum of the two-body system.

The trajectory-based-noninertial-coördinate mesh: $O(\hat{e}_{para}, \hat{e}_{perp}, \hat{e}_N)$ is a right-handed body coördinate system. The positive sense of \hat{e}_{perp} is determined by the convention that $\hat{e}_{para} \times \hat{e}_{perp}$ points in the direction of relative angular momentum of the two-body system.

The elliptic-astrodynamical-noninertial-coördinate mesh: $O(\hat{e}_{\rm E}, \hat{e}_{\xi}, \hat{e}_{\rm N})$ is described in the paper with drawing (Fig. 1).

The cylindrical-noninertial-coördinate mesh: $O(\hat{e}_r, \hat{e}_f, \hat{e}_N)$ is the standard coördinate system used in the two-body problem.

Introduction

Spacecraft dynamics is involved with correct and timely answers of questions like, where the spacecraft is currently located in space (*navigation*), in which orbit the spacecraft is desired to be (*guidance*), and, what action is needed to bring the spacecraft to the desired orbit (*control action*). If a spacecraft or a satellite were not in its proper orbit, it would not serve its purpose. Hence, it is very important to take the spacecraft to the desired orbit and keep it there, for the entire duration of its flight.

In order to accomplish this, one may need to employ control systems. In the *open-loop* control system, one does not check the output against the reference after taking the control action. Regular of a fan may be cited as an example of such a system. In the closed-loop control system, one does check the output against the reference after taking the control action. Voltage stabilizer is a good example of such a system.

Control laws are needed, on the basis of which autopilots are designed. It must be borne in mind that every control law is valid under certain conditions. It is not possible to devise a universal control law.

In this paper validity of a control law, *the cross-product steering*, is discussed. The flight of a spacecraft may be considered, mathematically, as a two-point, fixed-transfer-time (fixed-time-of-flight), boundary-value problem. In the Q system a correlated spacecraft is supposed to be following the reference trajectory, having the same transfer time. From the current location of actual spacecraft to the corresponding position in the trajectory of correlated spacecraft, a vector is constructed, which is termed as *velocity-to-be-gained*. This control law is used to drive *velocity-to-be-gained* vector to zero at the end of flight. It is shown that the definition of *cross-product steering* is incomplete and needs an additional condition.

The Elliptic-Astrodynamical-Coördinate Mesh

The two-body, central force motion is, generally, presented in the plane-polar coördinates,




Fig. 1. The elliptic-astrodynamical-coördinate mesh — here c is distance of focus from center of ellipse (do not confuse with c_0 , which is speed of light in the free space)

with the polar angle termed as *the true anomaly*, f. Combined with the z coördinate this represents a cylindrical-coördinate mesh (r, f, z). Although simple enough, this is unfortunately, not the optimum choice for the bounded-Keplarian motion, as the orbits are ellipses, in general. The elliptic-astrodynamical-coördinate mesh (Fig. 1), (ξ, E, z) , is adapted from the elliptic-cylindrical-coödinate mesh, well known in the literature. ξ —a generalized coördinate describing the shape of ellipse— is a function of a (semi-major axis of the elliptical trajectory) and e (eccentricity). E is the eccentric anomaly and z is same as the z coördinate in the cartesian mesh. For an elliptic orbit, $\xi = \text{constant}$. The Lagrangian and the Hamiltonian are, therefore, functions of a single variable, E. Appendix A lists coördinate transformations and Appendix B lists transformation of unit vectors for the cartesian-, the cylindrical- and the elliptic-astrodynamical-coördinate meshes.

The Lagrangian and the Hamiltonian Formulations

Taking the elliptic-astrodynamical coördinates as generalized coördinates, the expressions for the Lagrangian and the Hamiltonian are obtained using the following general results, valid for two-body central force motion:

(1a)
$$L = \frac{1}{2} \mu_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \frac{d\vec{\mathbf{r}}}{dt} \right|^2 - U |\vec{\mathbf{r}}|$$

$$(2a) H = \sum p_{\dot{\mathbf{j}}} \dot{q}_{\dot{\mathbf{j}}} - L$$

If the force law takes the form, $U(|\vec{r}|) = -\frac{GmM}{|\vec{r}|}$, the expression for the Lagrangian

becomes

(1b)
$$L = \frac{1}{2} \mu_{R} (\dot{r}^{2} + r^{2} \dot{f}^{2}) + \frac{GmM}{r}$$

Applying the transformations, the cylindrical to the elliptic-astrodynamical coordinates, and rearranging, the above may be written as

(1c)
$$L = \frac{mMa^2(1 - e^2\cos^2 E)}{2(m+M)}\dot{E}^2 + \frac{GmM}{a(1 - e\cos E)}$$

The canonical momenta, p_E and p_{ξ} may be obtained from this Lagrangian,

(3a, b)
$$p_{\rm E} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{E}} = \frac{mMa^2(1 - e^2\cos^2 E)}{m + M}\dot{E}, \ p_{\xi} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\xi}} = \text{ constant}$$

The Hamiltonian, therefore, may be obtained as

$$(2b) H = p_{\mathbf{E}}\dot{E} + p_{\xi}\dot{\xi} - L$$

or, in terms of the elliptic-astrodynamical-coördinate mesh

(2c)
$$H = \frac{1}{a(1 - e\cos E)} \left[\frac{(m+M)p_{\rm E}^2}{2mMa(1 + e\cos E)} - GmM \right]$$

a) The Constants of Motion

Examining Eq. (2c), one notes that the Hamiltonian does not contain time, explicitly. Therefore [1, 2]

$$(4) 0 = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \frac{dH}{dt}$$

Also, the transformation equations (see Appendix A) do not contain time, explicitly. Therefore, $H = \mathcal{E}$, energy of the system. Hence, the first constant-of-motion is found to be

£ (energy of the system)

Since, $\dot{p}_{\xi} = 0$, the other constant-of-motion is

p_{ξ} (canonical momentum corresponding to the coördinate ξ)

Also, $\dot{\xi}=0$, on an elliptical trajectory. The third constant-of-motion is

ξ (the elliptical-shape coördinate)

Recall that there were only two constants of motion in the conventional treatment of two-body problem in the plane-polar coördinates, viz., the total energy, \mathcal{E} , and the relative angular momentum, ℓ .

b) Rates of Change of Coördinates and Momenta

Using expressions for the Lagrangian (1c) and the Hamiltonian (2c), the rates may be evaluated

(5a)
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial E} = \dot{p}_{\rm E} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial E} = \frac{e^2 p_E^2 (m+M) \sin 2E}{2mMa^2 (1 - e^2 \cos^2 E)^2} - \frac{GmMe \sin E}{a (1 - e \cos E)^2}$$

(5b)
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi} = \dot{p}_{\xi} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi} = 0$$

(6a)
$$\dot{E} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{\rm E}} = \frac{(m+M)p_{\rm E}}{mMa^2(1-e^2\cos^2 E)^2}$$

(6b)
$$\dot{\xi} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_{\xi}} = 0$$

c) The Equation of Motion

The Lagrangian equation for the elliptic-astrodynamical coördinate, E, is set up to obtain the equation of motion along \hat{e}_E

(7)
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial E} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial (dE/dt)} = 0$$

Using Equations (3a), (5a) and rearranging, one obtains

(8)
$$(1 - e^2 \cos^2 E) \ddot{E} + \frac{1}{2} (e^2 \sin 2E) \dot{E}^2 + \frac{\mu e \sin E}{a^3 (1 - e \cos E)^2} = 0$$

This is a second-order, inhomogeneous differential equation, whose solution must be Kepler's equation. Using Kepler's equation in the form (τ is time for pericenter passage)

(9)
$$\sqrt{\mu}(t-\tau) = a^{3/2}(E - e\sin E)$$

it has been verified that Eq. (9) is a solution of Eq. (8).

d) The Transfer-Time Equation

The transfer-time equation between two points having eccentric anomalies E_1 and E_2 , (corresponding to times t_1 and t_2 , respectively) may be expressed as

(10)
$$t_2 - t_1 = \sqrt{\frac{a^3}{\mu}} [(E_2 - e\sin E_2) - (E_1 - e\sin E_1)] [TYPE]$$

The factor TYPE has to be introduced because Kepler's equation is derived on the assumption that t increases with the increase in f. Therefore, the difference

$$[(E_2 - e \sin E_2) - (E_1 - e \sin E_1)]$$

shall come out to be negative for spacecrafts orbiting in a sense opposite to rotation of earth. The factor TYPE ensures that the transfer time (which is the physical time) remains positive in all situations by adapting the convention that TYPE = +1 for spacecrafts moving in the direction of earth rotation, whereas, TYPE = -1 for spacecrafts moving opposite to the direction of earth rotation. This becomes important in computing correct flight-path angles in the Lambert scheme.

The Cross-Product Steering

Battin remarks in his book [3]: "If you want to drive a vector to zero, it is sufficient to align the time rate of change of the vector with the vector itself. Therefore, components of the vector cross product

$$\vec{v}_g \times \frac{d\vec{v}_g}{dt}$$

could be used as the basic autopilot rate signals — a technique that became known as cross-product steering (\vec{v}_g represents velocity-to-be-gained in the Q system)". However, this definition has a condition missing. The complete definition follows.

a) The Extended-Cross-Product Steering

In order to drive a vector to zero, it is sufficient to align the time rate of change of the vector with the vector itself provided the time rate of change of the magnitude of this vector is a monotonically decreasing function. This law may be termed as *the extended-cross-product steering*. Let \vec{A} be a vector, which needs to be driven to zero. Then, we must have

(11)
$$\vec{A} \times \frac{d\vec{A}}{dt} \to 0, \frac{d|\vec{A}|}{dt} < 0$$

This definition may be rewritten using the elliptic-astrodynamical-coördinate formulation. One notes that Equations (3a, b) show that there is no motion along the \hat{e}_{ξ} direction (because $p_{\xi} = 0$). On the basis of Eq. (6b), one concludes that $\xi = \text{constant}$. This may, also, be written as

(12)
$$0 = \frac{d\xi}{dt} = \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial z} \frac{dz}{dt} = \nabla \xi \cdot \vec{v}$$

which implies that v is perpendicular to $\nabla \xi$. This is the basis of the following control law.

b) The Normal-Component-Cross-Product Steering

In order to bring a vehicle to the desired trajectory, one needs to align the normal component of velocity with its time rate of change and make its magnitude a monotonically-decreasing function of time. By normal component one means the component of velocity in the plane normal to reference trajectory. This plane passes through a point on the reference trajectory, which is closest to current location of center-of-mass of spacecraft. Mathematically,

(13)
$$\vec{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{perp}} \times \frac{d\vec{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{perp}}}{dt} \to 0, \frac{d|\vec{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{perp}}|}{dt} < 0$$

Therefore, components of the vector

$$\vec{v}_{\text{perp}} \times \frac{d\vec{v}_{\text{perp}}}{dt}$$

should be used as the basic autopilot rate signals, where

(14)
$$\vec{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{perp}} = \vec{\mathbf{v}}_{P} + \vec{\mathbf{v}}_{N} = v_{P}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{P} + v_{N}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{N}$$

For the elliptic-astrodynamical-coördinate formulation, Eq. (14) takes the form

(15)
$$\vec{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{perp}} = v_{\xi} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\xi} + v_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z}$$

To correct for down-range error, one must have

(16a)
$$\vec{v}_{\xi} \times \frac{d\vec{v}_{\xi}}{dt} \to 0, \frac{d|\vec{v}_{\xi}|}{dt} < 0$$

To correct for cross-range error, the following could be used as autopilot rate signals

(16b)
$$\vec{v}_z \times \frac{d\vec{v}_z}{dt} \to 0, \frac{d|\vec{v}_z|}{dt} < 0$$

Conclusion

Down-range and cross-range errors need to be eliminated to make the spacecraft reach the desired location. All the components of velocity normal to the desired orbit (trajectory) must be driven to zero, in order to accomplish this goal. The undesired components of velocity, \vec{v}_{ξ} , and, \vec{v}_{z} , must be made to vanish using extended-cross-product

steering (or, more appropriately, normal-component-cross-product steering). The desired component is \vec{v}_E , which is responsible for taking the spacecraft to its pre-assigned location. With some modifications, extended-cross-product steering may be used for attitude control of satellites. A continuation of this work is presented elsewhere in this volume [4], which may, also, be used to drive the normal (undesired) components of velocity to zero.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Richard H. Battin of Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States for acknowledging my communication regarding a missing condition in the definition of *cross-product steering* in his book [3]. The author would like to express his deepest appreciation to National Center for Physics, in particular, organizing committee of IBCAST for making my stay at Bhurban comfortable. This work was made possible, in part, by *Dean's Research Grant* awarded by University of Karachi.

Appendix A: Transformation of Coördinates

a) The Cylindrical to the Cartesian and Vice Versa

(A1a, b)	$x = r \cos f;$	$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$
(A1c, d)	$y = r\sin f;$	$f = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x}$
(A1e, f)	z = z;	z = z

b) The Elliptic-Astrodynamical to the Cylindrical and Vice Versa

(A2a, b)
$$r = a(1 - e \cos E);$$
 $\xi = \frac{1}{2ae} \ln \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}$
(A2c, d) $f = 2 \tan^{-1}(\frac{1}{9} \tan \frac{E}{2});$ $E = 2 \tan^{-1}(9 \tan \frac{f}{2})$
(A2e, f) $z = z;$ $z = z$

c) The Cartesian to the Elliptic-Astrodynamical and Vice Versa

$$(A3a, b) \qquad \xi = \frac{1}{2ae} \ln \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}; \qquad x = a(\cos E - e)$$

$$(A3c, d) \qquad E = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{\epsilon (x+ae)}; \qquad y = a \in \sin E$$

$$(A3e, f) \qquad z = z; \qquad z = z$$

Appendix B: Transformation of Unit Vectors

a) The Cylindrical to the Cartesian and Vice Versa

$$(B1a, b) \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x} = \cos f \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{r} - \sin f \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{f}; \qquad \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{r} = \frac{x \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x} + y \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{y}}{\sqrt{x^{2} + y^{2}}}$$

$$(B1c, d) \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{y} = \sin f \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{r} + \cos f \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{f}; \qquad \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{f} = \frac{-y \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x} + x \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{y}}{\sqrt{x^{2} + y^{2}}}$$

$$(B1e, f) \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z} = \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z}; \qquad \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z} = \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z}$$

b) The Elliptic-Astrodynamical to the Cylindrical and Vice Versa

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{B}2a,b) & & \hat{\pmb{e}}_{\mathrm{r}} = \frac{\sinh{(2ae)}\hat{\pmb{e}}_{\xi} - \sin{(2E)}\hat{\pmb{e}}_{\mathrm{E}}}{H}; & & & & \\ \hat{\pmb{e}}_{\xi} = \frac{a_{0}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathrm{r}} - a_{1}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\sqrt{a_{0}^{2} + a_{1}^{2}}}; \\ (\mathrm{B}2c,d) & & & & \\ \hat{\pmb{e}}_{\mathrm{f}} = \frac{\sin{(2E)}\hat{\pmb{e}}_{\xi} + \sinh{(2ae\xi)}\hat{\pmb{e}}_{\mathrm{E}}}{H}; & & & \\ \hat{\pmb{e}}_{\mathrm{E}} = \frac{a_{1}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathrm{r}} + a_{0}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\sqrt{a_{0}^{2} + a_{1}^{2}}}; \\ (\mathrm{B}2e,f) & & & & \\ \hat{\pmb{e}}_{\mathrm{z}} = \hat{\pmb{e}}_{\mathrm{z}}; & & & \\ \hat{\pmb{e}}_{\mathrm{z}} = \hat{\pmb{e}}_{\mathrm{z}}; & & & \\ \end{array}$$

c) The Cartesian to the Elliptic-Astrodynamical and Vice Versa

$$(B3a, b) \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x} = \frac{\sinh{(ae\xi)}\cos{E}\,\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\xi} - \cosh{(ae\xi)}\sin{E}\,\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{E}}{h}; \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\xi} = \frac{x \in^{2}\,\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x} + y\,\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{y}}{ha \in}$$

$$(B3c, d) \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{y} = \frac{\cosh{(ae\xi)}\sin{E}\,\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\xi} + \sinh{(ae\xi)}\cos{E}\,\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{E}}{h}; \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{E} = \frac{-y\,\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x} + x \in^{2}\,\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{y}}{ha \in}$$

$$(B3e, f) \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z} = \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z}; \qquad \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z} = \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{z}$$

where,

$$\begin{split} h &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{\epsilon}{e}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{a\,\epsilon}\right)^2} = \sqrt{\sinh^2(ae\xi) + \sin^2E}; \ \ H &= \sqrt{\sinh^2(2ae\xi) + \sin^2(2E)}; \\ a_0 &= r + ae - e^2(ae + r\cos f); \ a_1 = ae \sin f - e^2\sin f(r\cos f + ae); \\ a &= \frac{ex + \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{1}{2e\xi} \ln\frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} \end{split}$$

References

1. H. Goldstein, *Classical Mechanics*, 2nd Ed., AddisonWesley, Reading, Massachusetts, United States (1981) pp. 70-102 (two-body central force motion), pp. 339-351 (Hamiltonian formulation)

- 2. J. B. Marion, *Classical Dynamics of Particles and Systems*, 2nd Ed., Academic Press, New York, United States (1970) pp. 243-278
- 3. R. H. Battin, An Introduction to the Mathematics and (the) Methods of Astrodynamics, AIAA Education Series, New York, United States (1987) pp. 10-11, review: https://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/Battin.pdf
- 4. S. A. Kamal, "The dot-product steering: a new control law for spacecrafts and satellites", *Proceedings of the First International Bhurban Conference on Applied Sciences and Technologies*, Bhurban, KP, Pakistan, June 10-15, 2002, pp. 178-184, full text: https://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/C55.pdf

Web address of this document (author's homepage): https://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/C56.pdf

Contact us
Scientific Secretary IBCAST,
National Center for Physics,
Quaid-i-Azam University Campus, Islamabad-Pakistan
[T] 92-51- 2273545, [F] 92-51-9205753
e-mail: info@ibcast.org.pk, secretary@ibcast.org.pk