

PHYSICS MAKES THE DEAF AND THE DUMB EQUATIONS OF MATHEMATICS TO SPEAK

KHURSHEED A. SIDDIQUI and SYED ARIF KAMAL*

Department of Physics, University of Karachi
Karachi 75270, Pakistan

Issac Assimov remarks: As for mathematics, that was, particularly, the tool of physicists, and as the research into first principles became more subtle and basic, it becomes nearly impossible to differentiate between the “pure mathematician” and the “theoretical physicist”. There is, however, a difference between thinking of the above persons. A pure mathematician, mainly, works with an abstract set of axioms and tries to build a consistent theory based on these axioms. These axioms are a priori assumed to be correct. Theoretical physicists, also, work with hypotheses and conjectures, but their main criterion is the observable evidence. A model, which provides no verifiable test, is of little interest to physicists. Physicists change their assumptions and conjectures based on experimental evidence. Therefore, we notice that physics relates the abstract mathematical equations to down-to-earth problems and as such makes “the deaf and the dumb equations of mathematics to speak”. A few mathematical equations and the physics behind them will be discussed.

INTRODUCTION

The deaf and the dumb equations of *mathematics* are made to speak through *physics*¹, which is the formulation of general laws applying, mainly, inductive logic. The journey from *mathematics* to *technology* could be considered as a journey from the abstract to the concrete, *mathematics* being in books, in the minds of philosophers, *physics* making contact with outside world, *technology* becoming the stage, where one enjoys the blessings. Let us look at a few examples to appreciate how physics gives language to the silent equations of mathematics.

**Homepage*: <http://www.ngds-ku.org/kamal>; *e-mail*: profdrakamal@gmail.com; corresponding author

DIRAC EQUATION

The time-dependent-Dirac equation may be written as²

$$(1) \quad (i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i\hbar \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla - m_0 c^2) \psi = 0$$

Since $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta$ are 4×4 matrices, plane-wave solutions of the form

$$(2) \quad \psi_j(\mathbf{r}, t) = u_j \exp i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t); \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

can be found. Substituting eq. (2) in eq. (1), we get four equations, which are homogeneous in u_j

$$(3a) \quad (E - m_0 c^2) u_1 - c p_z u_3 - c(p_x - i p_y) u_4 = 0$$

$$(3b) \quad (E - m_0 c^2) u_2 - c(p_x + i p_y) u_3 + c p_z u_4 = 0$$

$$(3c) \quad (E + m_0 c^2) u_3 - c p_z u_1 - c(p_x - i p_y) u_2 = 0$$

$$(3d) \quad (E + m_0 c^2) u_4 - c(p_x + i p_y) u_1 + c p_z u_2 = 0$$

u_j 's have non-trivial solutions only if the determinant of the coefficient vanishes. This gives

$$(4) \quad (E^2 - m_0^2 c^4 - c^2 p^2)^2 = 0$$

Therefore, we have

$$(5a, b) \quad E_+ = \sqrt{c^2 p^2 + m_0^2 c^4}; \quad E_- = -\sqrt{c^2 p^2 + m_0^2 c^4}$$

If the negative-energy states do exist, how can we keep the electron from tumbling into a negative-energy state, thus assuring the stability of the hydrogen-atom-ground state? Paul AM Dirac[‡] presented his relativistic equation³ in 1928 and in 1930 he formulated the *hole theory*⁴. He resolved this dilemma posed by the negative-energy solutions simply

[‡]SAK had the opportunity to meet Lucasian Professor Paul Dirac in 1980 during *the International Symposium on the History of Particle Physics* held at the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, United States and attend his lecture.




Fig. 1. Pair production: a negative-energy electron is excited to a positive-energy state by radiation

(Courtesy: JD Bjorken & SD Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964, p 65)

by filling up the negative-energy levels with electrons, in accordance with the Pauli exclusion principle. The vacuum state is then one with all negative-energy-electron levels filled and all positive-energy-levels empty.

It is possible for a negative-energy electron to absorb radiation and be excited into a positive-energy state. If this occurs, we observe an electron of energy E_+ and charge $-|e|$ and, in addition, a hole in the negative-energy sea. The hole suggests the absence of an electron of energy E_- and charge $+|e|$ (Fig. 1&2).




Fig. 2. Pair annihilation: a positive-energy electron falls into a negative-energy hole emitting radiation

(Courtesy: JD Bjorken & SD Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964, p 65)

For a while, this remained a theoretical suggestion, only. In 1932, Carl David Anderson^{\$} was investigating cosmic particles with cloud chambers divided in two by a lead barrier. One of Anderson's photographs showed a particle of starting characteristics to have been ejected from, the lead. From the extent of its curvature it seemed to have a mass equal to that of the electron, but it curved in the wrong direction. It was Dirac's positively-charged electron. Anderson named it the positron⁵.

Dirac and Anderson shared the 1933 Nobel prizes in physics, respectively, for these discoveries.

MAXWELL'S SECOND EQUATION

Maxwell's second equation states that the divergence of magnetic-flux density, always, vanishes, *i. e.*,

$$(5) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Since, the divergence is limiting case of flux per unit volume⁶, the above equation shows that the lines of magnetic flux are, always, closed. We can not have an isolated magnetic pole. Remember, from our primary school science books that if we cut a magnet into 2 parts, each of the resulting part possesses a north and a south pole. This process continues no matter how small the parts are – each of the parts is a complete magnet possessing a north and a south pole. This observation gave rise to the domain theory of magnetism⁷.

Dirac in 1931 proposed the idea that the mere existence of one magnetic mono-pole in the universe would offer an explanation of the discrete nature of electric charge^{8, 9}. The charge quantization everywhere requires a monopole of magnetic charge, g , given by

$$\frac{e}{2\alpha_{FS}} \quad (\alpha_{FS} \text{ is the fine-structure constant}).$$

^{\$}SAK was able to listen to the lecture of Carl David Anderson through telephonic link during *the International Symposium on History of Particle Physics* mentioned in footnote on page 40.

sable to many gauge theories, which endow them with a variety of extraordinarily-large masses¹⁰⁻¹³.

The introduction of monopoles needs a change in Maxwell's equations, because $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ is not consistent with the existence of a monopole. The modified equations are written as^{14, 15}

$$(7a, b) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 4\pi\rho_e; \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \frac{4\pi\mathbf{J}_e}{c}$$

$$(7c, d) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 4\pi\rho_m; \quad -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \frac{4\pi\mathbf{J}_m}{c}$$

where (ρ_e, \mathbf{J}_e) and (ρ_m, \mathbf{J}_m) are electric and magnetic charge and current densities, respectively. The above equations (and all equations of electromagnetism appearing in this paper) are written in the Gaussian system. For conversions[§] to SI see ref.¹⁴.

Particles endowed with both electric and magnetic charges are termed as dyons¹⁵. The electromagnetic force acting on a particle of electric charge e and magnetic charge g can be written as

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{F} = e(\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) + g(\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E})$$

For details on magnetic-monopole searches, the reader is referred to ref.¹⁶.

CURL OF A VECTOR FIELD

The curl of a vector field is defined as the limiting case of line integral per unit area enclosed by the contour. The line integral represents a current. This is made explicit by considering Ampere's law

[§]A paper, coauthored with Nasiruddin Bukhari (How to cope with different system of units?), was presented in a subsequent conference, specifically, dealing with conversion of electromagnetic equations from Gaussian System to SI and vice versa: <http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/C27.pdf>

$$(9) \quad \oint \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \frac{4\pi i}{c}$$

where \mathbf{H} is the magnetic-induction vector and i the electrical current (rate of flow of charge), enclosed in the loop under consideration. Faraday's law may be written as

$$(10) \quad \oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$$

that is, the line integral of electric field is the negative of flux current (rate of change of flux). The curl equation, thus, connects the rotation at a point to a curl. Consider the equation

$$(11) \quad \nabla \times (\nabla \phi) = 0$$

that is, the curl of gradient is, identically, zero. The physics behind the above equation can be understood by noting that $\nabla \phi$ is the rate of change of a scalar field and $\nabla \times$ is the rotation at a point. Since the rate of change of a scalar field involves consideration of different layers, any attempt to rotate it at a single point would give a null result.

DIVERGENCE OF A VECTOR

Recall that divergence of a vector field is the limiting case of the flux per unit volume. If the divergence is zero at a point, there are no sources or sinks present at the point, *e. g.* $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ implies existence of no isolated magnetic poles. However, if the divergence at a point is positive (negative), there is a source (sink) present at a point. For example, consider a point charge q located at the origin ($r = 0$). The volume-charge density is given by

$$(12) \quad \rho_Q(r) = q\delta(r)$$

where $\delta(r)$ is the Dirac delta function with the properties

$$(13) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r)\delta(r-r_0)dr = f(r_0)$$

and

$$(14a) \quad \int_a^b \delta(r - r_0) dr = 1 \quad \text{if } r_0 \in (a, b)$$

$$(14b) \quad = 0 \quad \text{if } r_0 \notin (a, b)$$

From Gauss's law

$$(15) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi q \delta(r)$$

The quantity $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} > 0$, if q is positive. The lines of force are coming out of the charged surface and this represents the presence of a source. The quantity $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} < 0$, if q is negative. The lines of force are ending at the charged surface and this represents the presence of a sink. Once we learn to interpret the divergence as the flow of fields, we can understand the physics behind the vector equation

$$(16) \quad \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = 0$$

that is, the divergence of a curl vanishes, identically. Curl represents rotation at a point, which cannot flow.

SYMMETRY AND CONSERVATION LAWS

Let us consider the following symmetries (Table 1), which we encounter in our everyday life (rotational, space translational, time translational). One notes that symmetry is, always, related to a conservation law. Mathematically, if the lagrangian does not contain a particular coordinate q_i , the corresponding momentum is conserved, because

Table 1. Symmetries encountered in physical systems

<i>Language</i>	<i>Mathematics</i>	<i>Conserved Quantity</i>
Isotropic (rotational)	$\partial\psi/\partial\Omega = 0$ [¶]	Angular momentum (\mathbf{L})
Homogeneous (space translational)	$\nabla\psi = 0$	Linear momentum (\mathbf{p})
Stationary (time translational)	$\partial\psi/\partial t = 0$	Energy (\mathcal{E})

[¶]The quantity Ω is the solid angle.

$$(17) \quad \dot{p}_i = \frac{\partial L(q_i, \dot{q}_i, t)}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \dot{p}_i = \frac{dp_i}{dt}.$$

Conservation laws not related to any symmetry may be questionable, *e. g.*, conservation laws for baryon and lepton number, not related to any known symmetry were questioned in the SU(5) grand unification model proposed by Georgi and Glashow¹⁷.

CONTINUITY EQUATION

Bruno Schmidt of the Karlsruhe Institute for the Didactics of Physics is developing a new curriculum for physics, which begins at the primary-school level and is intended to extend beyond high school into university studies.

Energy plays the primary role as part of a restructuring of physics, overall. In this restructuring *substance-like quantities* assume a fundamental place. A substance-like quantity is any physical quantity, which can be distributed in and flow through space, *e. g.*, energy (ϵ), charge (Q), amount of substance (n), linear momentum (\mathbf{p}), *etc.* These quantities are additive and they satisfy a continuity equation of the form

$$(18) \quad \frac{d\rho}{dt} + I = 0$$

where I is the current. For linear momentum

$$(19) \quad \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} + \mathbf{I}_p = \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} - \mathbf{F} = 0$$

Therefore, we see that \mathbf{F} (force) may be interpreted as momentum current. For other substance-like quantities, please refer to Table 2 (next page). This approach led to local cause or field-theoretic approach to physics.

Structuring physics on the basis of the substance-like quantities, considerably, simplifies the description and unifies the rules and the operations within many branches of physics and affects chemistry as well. At the same time this approach is easy to present at an

Table 2. Substance-like quantities and their corresponding currents

<i>Substance-Like Quantity (ρ)</i>	<i>Corresponding Current (I)</i>
Charge (Q)	Electrical
Entropy (S)	Thermal
Amount of Substance (n)	Chemical
Linear Momentum (p)	Mechanical (translational)
Angular Momentum (L)	Mechanical (rotational)

elementary level.

SINGULARITIES

Singularities are points, where the mathematical equations give infinite or indeterminate values of the physical observables they describe. For example, the event horizon of a black hole, where the force acting on a body becomes infinite.

The singularities encountered in physics indicate there is need for a change in physics. Consider Rayleigh-Jeans law. It was derived using the basic principles of thermodynamics. The law gave results for longer wavelengths but the energy density approached infinity for shorter wavelengths. This was termed as *ultraviolet catastrophe*. Since there was no flaw in its derivation, Planck thought that there needed to be a change in physics to remove this singularity. This led to the birth of the quantum theory of radiation.

In the 1950's people doing calculations using quantum electrodynamics realized that the electron self-energy was coming out to be infinite, because of interaction with the virtual particles produced due to vacuum fluctuations. This led to the concept of renormalization in field theories. In the renormalized theories, the infinities canceled and one got a consistent description of the physical situation.

REFERENCES

1. I Asimov, *The History of Physics* (Walker, New York, United States, 1986), p 3
2. LI Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics*, 3rd edition (McGraw-Hill Kogakusha, Tokyo, Japan, 1988), p 472

3. PAM Dirac, *Proceedings of Royal Society (London)*, **A 117** (1928) 610
4. PAM Dirac, *Proceedings of Royal Society (London)*, **A 126** (1930) 360
5. CD Anderson, *Physical Review* **43** (1933) 491
6. P Lorrain and DR Corson, *Electromagnetic Fields and Waves*, 2nd edition (Freeman, San Francisco, United States, 1970), p 13
7. D Halliday and R Resnick, *Physics*, part 2, 3rd edition (John Wiley, New York, United States, 1976), p 812
8. PAM Dirac, *Proceedings of Royal Society (London)*, **A 133** (1948) 60
9. PAM Dirac, *Physical Review*, **74** (1948) 817
10. JD Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 2nd edition (John Wiley, New York, United States, 1975), p 251
11. PAM Dirac, *Directions in Physics*, edited By H Hora and JR Shepanski (John Wiley, New York, United States, 1978), p 39
12. CR Johnson, *Physical Review D*, **24** (1981) 327
13. Z Hovath and I Dalla, "Spontaneous compactification and monopoles in higher dimensions", *ICTP preprint IC/78/37* (1978)
14. RK Wangness, *Electromagnetic Fields* (John Wiley, New York, United States, 1979), p 414
15. H Barcy and J Kuber-Andre, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **7** (1973) 39
16. Particle Data Group, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **56 (No. 2, part II)** (1984) SI, p S138
17. H Georgi and SL Glashow, *Physical Review Letters* **32** (1974) 436

*Related Papers***From Mathematics to Technology: A Bridge through Physics and Engineering**

Kamal SA, Proceedings of the International Conference on Physics and the World of Today, Edited by Jafri MA, Naqvi SM, National Center for Physics and Department of Physics, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan, 2008, pp 32-39 (invited paper); *full text*: <http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/C70.pdf>

The Training of a Physicist: From Concept Building to Problem-Solving Skills

Kamal SA, the Second International Conference on Physics Education, Department of Physics, University of Karachi, 2003; *full text*: <http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/C57.pdf>

How to Develop Creative Thinking and Critical Analysis?

Kamal SA, Siddiqui KA, Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Teaching of Physics, Edited by Hasnain AF, Karachi, Pakistan, 1986, pp 51-56; *full text*: <http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/C24.pdf>

Concept Building in the Undergraduate Mathematics and Physics Curricula

Kamal SA, Siddiqui KA, Naseeruddin, Karachi University Journal of Science, **37 (1&2)**, 2009, 1-6; *full text*: <http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J28.pdf>

The Sixth Paradigm of Physics

Kamal SA, Karachi University Journal of Science, **28(2)**, 2000, 11-16; *full text*: <http://www.ngds-ku.org/papers/J24.pdf>

Basic Requirements to Train a Physicist

Kamal SA, Siddiqui KA, Physics Education (India), April-June 1989, pp 53-61; *full text*: <http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/J09.pdf>

Web address of this document (corresponding author's homepage): <http://www.ngds-ku.org/Papers/C25.pdf>
Abstract: <http://www.ngds-ku.org/pub/confabst1.htm#C25>: