

# ڪرا جي يُونيورشني University of Karachi THE NGDS PILOT PROJECT The Sibling Growth Pilot Project



SF-GROWTH-AND-IMAGING LABORATORY

### SAMPLE REPORT

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.1.3073.3281 (Research Gate)

# **GROWTH-AND-OBESITY ROADMAPS OF G FAMILY\***

SGPP Case Number: SGPP-KHI-20131021-02 • Date of Report (Year-Month-Day): 2015-03-07

Father's Name: W/H • Mother's Name: W/H • e-mail: W/H • Paper Mail: W/H
Telephone: W/H • Best time to Call: 11 am on Saturday

Table 1. Adult-mid-parental (Target) and army-cutoff heights

Father's Height: † 167.16 cm • Mother's Height: † 160.16 cm

Adult-Mid-Parental (Target)	Boy <b>†</b>		Girl 🛊	
and Army-Cutoff Heights	Target	Army-Cutoff	Target	Army-Cutoff
Height (cm)	170.16	162.56	157.16	157.48
Height (ft-in)	5 ft 6.99 in	5 ft 4.00 in	5 ft 1.87 in	5 ft 2.00 in
Percentile	18.95	2.72	18.14	19.36

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to page 5 regarding procedures adopted in compliance with confidentiality standards of the NGDS Pilot Project. Text in blue is not part of report handed out to parents of LG..

*cm*: centimeter(s) • *ft*: foot (feet) • *in*: inch(es) • *kg*: kilogram(s) • *lb*: pound(s) • *oz*: ounce(s) MP: Mid-Parental • NA: Not Available • NM: Not Measured • W/H: Withheld to protect privacy

EC I: Energy-Channelization I (Tallness + Wasting) • EC II: Energy-Channelization II (Stunting + Obesity) EC III: Energy-Channelization III (Puberty-Induced Energy-Channelization) • AM: Acute Malnutrition ON: Over-Nutrition (Tallness + Obesity) • UN: Under-Nutrition (Stunting + Wasting)

 a) The mother, accompanied by father, could come and discuss strategies for long-term-health protection of their child(ren), on:

### Monday, March 9, 2015 at 1520h

- b). For explanation of dress and behavior codes see Manual for Anthropometric Measurements: http://www.ngds-ku.org/ngds\_folder/M02.pdf
- c). WASTED (OBESE) means student has lesser (excess) weight-for-height (do not confuse with everyday meaning of wasted). Detailed guidelines to help maintain optimal weight-for-height are placed at:

http://www.ngds-ku.org/BLA/Weight.pdf

d). Estimated-adult height is based on percentile of current height. Some helpful suggestions to increase heights of girls, who are considered short-for-age, are available at the link:

http://www.ngds-ku.org/BLA/Height.pdf

e) This report includes an additional parameter, Cumulative-Scoliosis-Risk Weightage (CSRW). A CSRW above 5.5, 6.5 or 7.5 after the first, the second or the third checkup, respectively, needs careful observation and follow up. CSRW is determined on the basis of the following criteria: http://www.ngds-ku.org/BLA/Scoliosis\_Risk.pdf f) Guidelines to guard against curvatures of spinal column are placed at:

http://www.ngds-ku.org/Articles/A14.pdf

g) It must be realized that all diet-based interventions to maintain appropriate weight-for-height and proper height-for-age would be nullified, if children were suffering from vitamin-D deficiency (VDD). VDD may be the prime cause of weak bones, in particular, scoliosis, in school-aged children. The following link lists some indications as well as remedial measures to spot and overcome VDD:

http://www.ngds-ku.org/BLA/VDD.pdf

- h) Next checkup of your family is scheduled on:
   Saturday, August 22, 2015 at 1007h
   Please contact one week before the scheduled date
   (August 17-21, 2015) to confirm this appointment.
- Many thanks for your support and understanding of the work done by the NGDS Team.

**Professor Dr. Syed Arif Kamal** *Professor and Project Director*e-mail: sakamal@uok.edu.pk

Table 2a. Growth-and-Obesity Roadmap of LG (SGPP-KHI-20131021-02/01)

Gender: Female † • Date of Birth (year-month-day): 2007-08-15 • School: W/H • GR Number: W/H Checkup Photograph Scanned Signatures LGLG II-B II-B Class and Section Date of Checkup (year-month-day) 2014-11-22 2015-02-28 Age (year-month-day) 07-03-07 07-05-23 Age (decimal year) 7.27 7.54 Dress Code 0/0.5 0/0.5Behavior Code 0 0 Cumulative-Scoliosis-Risk Weightage 8.50 9.00 Height, h(cm)126.96 139.92 4 ft 7.09 in Height (ft-in) 4 ft 1.98 in Percentile-for-Height, P(h)74.37 99.01 Estimated-Adult Height (cm) 167.59 180.03 Estimated-Adult Height (ft-in) 5 ft 5.98 in 5 ft 10.88 in Current-Age-MP Height (cm) 118.00 119.59 Δ Height w. r. t. Current-Age-MP Height (cm) +8.96+20.33Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-height),  $STATUS_{\pm}(h)$ +7.59% +17.00%1st-Degree Tall 2nd-Degree Tall **Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-height)** 119.86 Current-Age-Army-Cutoff Height (cm) 118.26  $\Delta$  Height w. r. t. Army-Cutoff Height (cm) +8.70+20.06Reference Height (cm) 126.96 139.92 Percentile-for-Reference-Height 74.37 99.01 Age of Prediction, A+ (years) 7.77 8.04 Reference Height, at A+(cm)130.09 143.24 6-Month-Height Management (cm) +3.13+3.32Month-Wise-Height Management (cm/month) +0.52 +0.55 Month-Wise-Height Management (in/month) +0.21+0.22Gross Mass (kg) 23.66 25.69 Clothing Correction (kg) 0 0 Net Mass,  $\mu(kg)$ 23.66 25.69 Net Weight (lb-oz) 52 lb 2.72 oz. 56 lb 10.34 oz. Percentile-for-Net-Mass,  $P(\mu)$ 51.31 61.58 Estimated-Adult Mass (kg) 61.76 58.62 Estimated-Adult Weight (lb–oz) 129 lb 4.04 oz 136 lb 2.73 oz *BMI*: Body-Mass Index  $(kg/m^2)$ 14.68 13.12 Estimated-Adult BMI  $(kg/m^2)$ 19.05 20.87 Optimal Mass (kg) 26.37 39.28 -2.71-13.59 $\Delta$  Mass-for-Height (kg) -10.28%-34.60%Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-mass),  $STATUS_{+}(\mu)$ Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-mass) 2<sup>nd</sup>-Degree Wasted 4th-Degree Wasted Optimal Mass for Reference Height, at A+(kg)28.09 42.21 +4.43 6-Month-Mass Management (kg) +16.52+0.74 Month-Wise-Mass Management (kg/month) +2.75Month-Wise-Weight Management (lb-oz/month) +1 lb 10.05 oz +6 lb 10.05 oz **Nutritional Status** EC I EC I 125.68 160.59  $P(h) + P(\mu)$ **Build** Medium Big




Figure 1. Time evolution of LG's height and mass percentiles for her two checkups. Note that the gap between height and mass percentiles widened at the second checkup, also indicated by Growth-and-Obesity Roadmap

Table 2b. Month-wise-height and -mass (weight) management for LG

Targets	Height Management		Mass (Weight) Managemen	
(on specific dates of each month)	cm	ft-in	kg	lb-oz
March 28, 2015	140.47	4 ft 7.30 in	28.47	62 lb 12.54 oz
April 28, 2015	141.03	4 ft 7.52 in	31.26	68 lb 14.75 oz
May 28, 2015	141.58	4 ft 7.74 in	34.04	75 lb 0.95 oz
June 28, 2015	142.13	4 ft 7.96 in	36.82	81 <i>lb</i> 3.15 <i>oz</i>
July 28, 2015	142.69	4 ft 8.18 in	39.61	87 lb 5.35 oz
August 28, 2015	143.24	4 ft 8.39 in	42.39	93 lb 7.55 oz

Table 2c. Lifestyle adjustment, diet and exercise plans for LG to achieve month-wise targets

	Height Management	Mass (Weight) Management	
Lifestyle Adjustment	Recommended daily dose of vitamin D (600 IU) through 10-15 minute guarded-graduated sun-exposure (early morning or late afternoon) with the child minimally dressed, 8-hour night-time sound sleep		
Diet Plans	3 relaxed and balanced meals, 10-12 glasses To gain height diet plan should include calcium-, protein- and fiber-rich diet (milk, fresh fruit, chicken and fish)	s of water daily  To put on mass (weight) diet plan should include milk, potato items and protein-rich diet	
Exercise Plans	Guarded-graduated exercises preceded by w To pick up height child should perform light-stretching exercises (bar hanging, mild-stretching, summersault, cartwheel)	varm-up and followed by cool-down routines To increase mass (weight) heavy exercises performed for shorter duration, consistently	

Need to take care of the checked items:

- $\otimes$  1. Optimal-weight management advised note (*c*) on page-1 footer
- O 2. Your child seems not to gain height, optimally; helpful suggestions available on the NGDS website note (*d*) on page-1 footer
- $\otimes$  3. Guard against your child acquiring scoliosis notes (e, f) on page-1 footer
- O 4. Signs of anemia observed; your child may have vitamin-D deficiency note (g) on page-1 footer

Table 3a. Obesity Roadmaps of parents

Father's Date of Birth (year-month-day): † 1971-07-15 • Mother's Date of Birth (year-month-day): † 1979-01-02

	Father 🛉	Mother 🛊
Date of Checkup (year-month-day)	2014-11-22	2014-11-22
Age (year-month-day)	43-04-07	35-10-20
Age (decimal year)	43.36	35.89
Dress Code	1.5/2	2/2
Height, $h(cm)$	167.16	160.16
Height (ft-in)	5 ft 5.81 in	5 ft 3.06 in
Gross Mass (kg)	62.96	71.46
Clothing Correction (kg)	0.30	0.30
Net Mass, $\mu(kg)$	62.66	71.16
Net Weight ( <i>lb-oz</i> )	138 lb 2.64 oz	156 lb 14.52 oz
<i>BMI</i> : Body-Mass Index $(kg/m^2)$	22.42	27.74
Optimal Mass (kg)	67.06	66.56
$\Delta$ Mass-for-Height ( $kg$ )	-4.40	+4.60
Algebraic Status (pertaining-to-mass), $STATUS_{\pm}(\mu)$	-6.56%	+6.91%
Qualitative Status (pertaining-to-mass)	1st-Degree Wasted	1st-Degree Obese
6-Month-Mass Management (kg)	+4.40	-4.60
Month-Wise-Mass Management (kg/month)	+0.73	-0.77
Month-Wise-Weight Management (lb-oz/month)	+1 <i>lb</i> 9.88 <i>oz</i>	−1 <i>lb</i> 11.03 <i>oz</i>

Table 3b. Month-wise-mass (-weight) management for parents

Targets	Father 🕴		Mother 🛊	
(on specific dates of each month)	kg	lb-oz	kg	lb-oz
December 22, 2014	63.39	139 lb 12.40 oz	70.39	155 lb 3.36 oz
January 22, 2015	64.12	141 <i>lb</i> 6.15 <i>oz</i>	69.62	153 lb 8.19 oz
February 22, 2015	64.85	142 <i>lb</i> 15.91 <i>oz</i>	68.85	151 <i>lb</i> 13.03 <i>oz</i>
March 22, 2015	65.58	144 lb 9.66 oz	68.08	150 lb 1.86 oz
April 22, 2015	66.32	146 lb 3.77 oz	67.32	148 lb 7.05 oz
May 22, 2015	67.06	147 lb 13.88 oz	66.56	146 <i>lb</i> 12.24 <i>oz</i>

Table 3c. Lifestyle adjustment, diet and exercise plans for parents to achieve month-wise targets

	Father 🛉	Mother 🛊	
Lifestyle Adjustment	Active and carefree lifestyle, lesser screen time, outdoor activities combined with light reading and social interactions, 6-hour night-time sound sleep		
Diet Plans	3 relaxed and balanced meals, 10-12 glasses To put on mass (weight), diet plan should include milk, potato items and protein-rich diet	s of water daily  To shed off mass (weight), diet plan should include salad, yogurt and skimmed milk	
Exercise Plans	Guarded-graduated exercises preceded by w To put on mass (weight), father should perform heavy exercises for shorter duration, consistently	varm-up and followed by cool-down routines To shed off mass (weight), mother should perform light exercises longer duration, consistently	



Figure 2. Signing 'The SGPP Participation Form'

# PROTECTING CONFIDENTIALITY OF G FAMILY

G Family was invited to come to SF-Growth-and-Imaging Laboratory after the Project Director of the NGDS Pilot Project received completed and duly signed (by both parents and the participating child) 'The SGPP Participation Form' (Informed Consent Form) — http://www.ngds-ku.org/SGPP/SGPP\_Form.pdf (Figure 2). To safeguard G Family's privacy, the photographs, included in LG's Growth-and-Obesity Roadmap and on this page, do not show the actual child, whose profile is presented. These photographs are selected from the set of children, enrolled in Growth-and-Obesity-Monitoring Program conducted at SF Growth-and-Imaging Laboratory. In addition, family label (G) and initials of child (LG) are different from first letters in actual names (according to our group's confidentiality standards). Same holds for the case number appearing in this report and the main document. Further, in place of scanned signatures, initials are given, again, to protect privacy. Dress code 1.5/2 (father) meant he was measured wearing T-shirt and trousers, barefoot, 2/2 (mother) meant she was barefoot and wearing shalwar/kameez (shalwar is a garment worn on the lower portion of body, resembling athletic trousers and kameez is a garment worn on the upper portion of body, resembling a long shirt, generally, in the Indian subcontinent, the Middle East and the Far East.) without dupatta (a garment put on shoulders on top of kameez, at times worn over head) at the time of measurement. (Female) child's dress code 0/0.5 meant she was barefoot and examined completely undressed wearing only panties (Figure 3). Behavior code 0 meant she was relaxed and coöperative.



Figure 3. Anthropometry, mouth inspection for signs of anemia, body-alignment check and gait analysis in SF-Growth-and-Imaging Laboratory

This report is prepared as supplementary information to "Growth-and-Nutritional-Status Monitoring of Primary-School Children Enrolled in Armed Forces and Civilian Institutions located in Karachi", published in AAHANG, **69** (2016) 145-150, magazine of Pakistan Broadcasting Corporation (Radio Pakistan)

Web address of this document: http://www.ngds-ku.org/Articles/A28/Report.pdf